Synthesis of Pyrrolin-4-ones by Pt-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization in PEG under Microwaves

Rosella Spina,†,‡ Evelina Colacino,† Bartolo Gabriele,‡ Giuseppe Salerno,§ Jean Martinez,† and Frédéric Lamaty*^{,†}

†Institut des Biomolécule[s M](#page-4-0)ax Mousseron (IBMM), UMR 5247 CNRS - UM I-UM II, Université de Montpellier II, Place E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

 ‡ Dipartimento di Scienze Farmaceutiche and $^{\$}$ Dipartimento di Chimica, Università della Calabria, 87036 Arcavacata di Rende (CS), Italy

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-4-0)STRACT: [The unpreced](#page-4-0)ented eco-friendly Pt-catalyzed 5 endo-dig cycloisomerization of readily available α -amino ynones is reported under microwave irradiation in PEG-3400 as reaction matrix. The corresponding pure pyrrolin-4-ones were obtained in excellent yields (80−98%) directly after a straightforward precipitation−filtration workup, thus avoiding any chromatographic purification. The catalytic system was recycled and the chiral purity of all the products was also investigated.

The field of environmentally friendly (or green) chemistry is currently attracting increasing attention.¹ One important area in current chemical science is to develop mild and sustainable processes to prepare organic molecul[es](#page-4-0). Previous work developed in our laboratory made use of poly(ethylene glycol) $(PEG)^2$ as an alternative and eco-compatible solvent under microwave irradiation. 3 In the past decades, the use of transition-met[al](#page-4-0) catalysis to provide new reactivities of organic substrat[e](#page-4-0)s has emerged.⁴ The metal-catalyzed cycloisomerization is now commonly used for the synthesis of numerous families of heterocycles,^{[5](#page-4-0)} and several strategies have been also developed in our laboratories. $3f, g, 6$

Starting from α -ami[no](#page-4-0) ynones 1, easily obtained from the addition to organolithium re[agen](#page-4-0)ts to urethane-protected Ncarboxyanhydrides^{3g} (UNCAs), pyrrolin-4-ones 2 were synthetized by an unprecedented platinum-catalyzed cycloisomerization reaction und[er](#page-4-0) mild conditions (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Platinum-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization Reaction of 1 Using PEG-3400 as a Green Solvent under Microwaves

A pyrrolinone scaffold similar to 2 is present in diverse pharmaceutically active compounds, 7 and various families of nitrogen-containing heterocycles⁸ can be easily obtained by simple transformation of α -ami[no](#page-4-0) ynones 1. However, straightforward procedures to pyrrolinones were poorly investigated in the past, and only rare examples are reported

where ynones 1 were used as substrates in toxic mercury(II)- or gold(III)-catalyzed heterocyclization in volatile organic solvents under reflux.9−¹¹ In most of the cases, the yields were good, but the enantiomeric excess was not always satisfying and no recycling ex[perim](#page-4-0)ents of the catalyst were performed. In order to alleviate the potential loss of chiral integrity, we initiated a study with metals other than mercury or gold. α -Amino ynone $1a^{3g}$ served as a model to study the cycloisomerization reaction using various PEG-3400/metal catalyst associations under m[icr](#page-4-0)owaves in order to compare conversions, yields, and chiral integrity (Table 1). Microwave activation (monomode waves) is particularly adapted in the reactions using solid PEG since the focused heat[in](#page-1-0)g generated by the microwaves together with the good absorption of the PEG quickly provides a liquid-phase environment once PEG is melted (above 50 $^{\circ}$ C).^{2b} The viscosity of the reaction medium remains high, but microwaves provide a homogeneous heating.

From the various metals which were studied (Table 1), the complete conversion of substrate 1a was possible only when PtCl₂ was the catalyst, leading to pyrrolinone 2a [wit](#page-1-0)h an excellent yield after 30 min. The amount of catalyst was reduced from 5 to 1 mol % (Table 1, entry 5) resulting in an increase of the yield of the reaction. Unfortunately, it was difficult to reproduce the results wi[th](#page-1-0) such a small amount of catalyst, and the study was carried out on a 5 mol % basis. In both cases, however, the enantiomeric ratio was affected, dropping from 90/10 of the starting material to 68/32 (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). The epimerization could be due to the keto−enol equilibrium favored by the presence of HCl released [d](#page-1-0)uring the reaction.^{11,12} In order to neutralize the acid formed

Received: Novemb[er 11](#page-4-0), 2012 Published: February 1, 2013

ACS Publications

Table 1. Screening of Different Catalysts for the Cycloisomerization Reaction of $1a^a$

"Enantiomeric ratio (er) of 1a is $90/10$. ^bConversions were determined by HPLC. "Yields were calculated by ¹H NMR using CH₂Br₂ as an internal standard. ^dEnantiomeric ratio (er) was determined by chiral HPLC analyses. ^eReaction was carried out for 60 min.

in situ and to slow down the epimerization process, different combinations of platinum(II) dichloride and various bases were tested, and a selection of results is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. PtCl₂-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization Reaction of α -Amino Ynone 1a in Basic Medium

			PtCl ₂ 5 mol% / Base 10 mol%			Ph Boc	
	NHBoc	Ph	PEG-3400, MW				
	1a					2a	
entry	base	T $(^\circ C)$	time (min)	$er 1a^a$ (%)	$er 2a^a$ (%)	yield ^b (%)	
$\mathbf{1}$	Cs_2CO_3	70	60	90/10	74/26	81	
$\overline{2}$	NaHCO ₃	70	30	90/10	80/20	87	
3	Et ₃ N	70	60	90/10	79/21	83	
$\overline{4}$	t -BuOK	70	60	90/10	59/41	54	
5^c	K_2CO_3	80	60	90/10		$\mathbf{0}$	
6	K_2CO_3	80	30	90/10	86/14	70	
7	K_2CO_3	70	30	90/10	89/11	90	

a Enantiomeric ratio (er) was determined by chiral HPLC analyses. b vields were calculated by $\frac{1}{2}$ H NMR using CH_2Br_2 as an internal standard. $\text{The reaction was carried out in the absence of catalyst.}$

When Cs_2CO_3 , Et₃N, or t-BuOK (Table 2, entries 1, 3, and 4) was used, the reaction time was longer and full conversion of substrate 1a was complete after 60 min. Furthermore, the enantiomeric excess of product 2a was decreased (18−60%), while in the absence of catalyst the cyclization did not take place (Table 2, entry 5). Under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 2, entry 7), the starting enantiomeric ratio in 1a was preserved in the final product 2a, which could be easily recovered as a pure compound after a practical and simple precipitation/filtration workup. The crude was dissolved in a small amount of dichloromethane and then precipitated in $Et₂O$. After filtration to separate PEG-based solids, pure product 2a was recovered in 94% yield after evaporation of the filtrate. In some cases, an aqueous washing to remove traces of PEG was necessary. Compared to other procedures,¹¹ no column chromatography was required decreasing the use of organic solvent (limited to the precipitation proce[du](#page-4-0)re). Various α-amino ynones 1a−h were reacted under the

optimized conditions to afford the corresponding pyrrolinones 2a−h always in excellent isolated yields (90−98%) and with good to excellent preservation of the enantiomeric ratio (Table 3). As described previously in the literature, 11 the epimerization was very limited for the hindered substrates (Table 3, entries [1](#page-2-0)−3). In the case of less hindered subst[rat](#page-4-0)es, epimerization occurred but was restrained compared to the one obs[erv](#page-2-0)ed with a gold catalyst. 11

The recyclability of the catalytic system $PEG-3400/PLCl₂/$ K_2CO_3 was al[so](#page-4-0) investigated for the first time in this type of transformation. Two sets of experiments were carried out on two different α -amino ynones 1b and 1c. After a first run (performed as described in Table 2) on each of the starting materials (Table 4, entries 1 and 3), the valine-based pyrrolinones 2b and 2c were obtained in very good yield and retention of stereoc[he](#page-3-0)mistry after precipitation. The precipitate recovered after the precipitation−filtration workup for each experiment was reused³ in the next runs (Table 4, entries 2 and 4) by charging the reaction vessel with 1b or 1c, respectively, to provide again 2b or [2](#page-4-0)c, respectively, with [hig](#page-3-0)h yields and preservation of the starting optical purity. One more run could be efficiently performed on 1c (Table 4, entry 5) but not on 1b, which remained unreacted in the next cycle.

In conclusion, we disclose herein a [ne](#page-3-0)w route to the synthesis of pyrrolin-4-ones using unprecedented Pt-catalyzed 5-endo-dig cycloisomerization of readily available α -amino ynones 1^{3g} in PEG-3400 as an alternative and eco-friendly solvent under microwave irradiation. The final products were al[wa](#page-4-0)ys recovered in excellent yields and purity without any time- or solvent-consuming column chromatography after a simple precipitation−filtration workup that allowed catalytic system recovering and recycling.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Typical Procedure for the PtCl₂-Catalyzed Heterocyclization of α -Amino Ynones 1a−h. A mixture of PtCl₂ (1.3 mg, 0.005 mmol), K_2CO_3 (1.4 mg, 0.01 mmol), PEG-3400 (400 mg), and α amino ynones 1^{3g} (0.1 mmol) placed in a sealed vessel was heated at 70 °C under microwave irradiation (initial power 400 W) for 30 min. The reaction mi[xtu](#page-4-0)re was solubilized in a small amount of CH_2Cl_2 (2.0 mL) and precipitated in Et₂O (250 mL). After 3 h at -18 °C, filtration of the catalytic system (PEG-3400/Pt/base) and evaporation of diethyl ether afforded pure product 2. When necessary, an aqueous washing to remove traces of PEG was performed.

Typical Procedure to Recycle the Catalytic System. α -Amino ynone 1 (0.1 mmol) was added to the precipitate PEG-3400/Pt/base obtained after the precipitation−filtration workup from a previous experiment (Table 4) and placed in a sealed vessel then heated up using microwave irradiation (initial power 400W) at 70 °C (the instrument adjusted the heating power to keep this temperature constant) for the i[nd](#page-3-0)icated time (Table 4), to afford pure product 2 after precipitation/filtration workup as previously described.³

2-Isopropyl-3-oxo-5-phenyl-2,3-[di](#page-3-0)hydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2a).

Starting from 1a (24.1 mg, 0.08 mmol), 22.6 mg was obtained (94% isolated yield): CAS Registry No. 1169845-39-9; yellow solid; mp 65− 68 °C ($\rm{lit.}^{11'}$ 70−72 °C; ee 90%; ¹H NMR (\rm{CDCl}_{3} , 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 7.36−7.34 (m, 5H), 5.53 (s, 1H), 4.11 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz), 2.54 (septuplet, [1H](#page-4-0), $J = 3.5$ Hz), 1.16 (s, 9H), 1.10 (d, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 0.95 $(d, 3H, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz})$; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 201.1, 172.9, 150.3, 133.1, 130.2, 128.0, 127.0, 113.6, 82.6, 71.5, 32.1, 27.6, 17.2, 17.1; ESIMS m/z 302.1 $(M + H)^{+}$, 246.1 $(M + H - t$ -Bu)⁺, 603.3

Table 3. Pt-Catalyzed Cycloisomerization of α -Amino Ynone Derivatives under Microwave Irradiation in PEG-3400

 a Enantiomeric ratio (er) was determined by chiral HPLC analysis. b The reaction was carried out in the absence of base.

 $(2M + H)^+$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{18}H_{24}NO_3$ $(M + H)^+$ 302.1756, found 302.1748; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = 2/98, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 214$ nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.333$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 8.417$ min.

5-Butyl-2-isopropyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2b).

Starting from 1b (22.5 mg, 0.08 mmol), 21.1 mg was obtained (95% isolated yield): yellow oil, ee 97%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 5.34 (s, 1H), 3.96 (d, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz), 2.97−2.76 (m, 2H), 2.50−2.45 (m, 1H), 1.60−1.40 (m, 4H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.15 (d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.95 (t, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz), 0.83 (d, 3H, $J = 7.0$ Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 200.5, 177.0, 149.4, 110.1, 82.6, 70.2, 31.0, 30.6, 29.7, 28.0, 22.4, 17.3, 15.9, 13.7; ESIMS m/z 282.2 (M +

H)⁺, 304.2 (M + Na)⁺, 226.2 (M + H – t-Bu)⁺, 563.3 (2M + Na)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{28}NO_3$ (M + H)⁺ 282.2069, found 282.2063; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = 2/98, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ 214 nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 6.833$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 4.817$ min.

5-Cyclopropyl-2-isopropyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2c).

Starting from 1c (26.5 mg, 0.1 mmol), 26.0 mg was obtained (98% isolated yield): yellow solid; mp 70−72 °C, ee 95%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 5.01 (s, 1H), 3.98 (d, 1H, J = 3.1 Hz), 2.74−2.65 (m, 1H), 2.52−2.42 (m, 1H), 1.53 (s, 9H), 1.17−1.13 (m, 2H), 1.14 $(d, 3H, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz})$, 0.84–0.75 (m, 2H), 0.81 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 200.3, 179.7, 149.9, 105.0, 82.7, 70.8, 30.9, 28.2, 17.5, 16.1, 11.4, 11.3, 11.2; ESIMS m/z 266.2 (M +

 a Enantiomeric ratio (er) was determined by chiral HPLC analyses. b Conversion was determined by HPLC. ^cIsolated yields.

H)⁺, 210.2 (M + H – t-Bu)⁺, 531.4 (2M + H)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}NO_3$ $(M + H)^+$ 266.1756, found 266.1761; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = 2/98, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 214 nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 12.6 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 9.083 \text{ min}.$

2-Methyl-3-oxo-5-phenyl-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2d).

Starting from 1d (27.4 mg, 0.1 mmol), 24.7 mg was obtained (90% isolated yield): CAS Registry No. 1169845-42-4; yellow solid; mp 80− 85 °C ($\rm{lit.}^{11}$ 90−92 °C); ee 48%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 7.46−7.41 (m, 5H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 4.24 (q, 1H, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.61 $(d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz)$ $(d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz)$ $(d, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz)$, 1.29 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 201.0, 172.1, 149.7, 132.8, 130.2, 127.9, 127.4, 111.2, 82.8, 63.6, 27.8, 17.6; ESIMS m/z 274.2 $(M + H)^{+}$, 296.1 $(M + Na)^{+}$, 218 $(M +$ H − t-Bu)+ , 174.2 (M + H − Boc)⁺ ; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}NO_3$ $(M + H)^+$ 274.1443, found 274.1431; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = $2/98$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 214$ nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 11.483 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 10.917 \text{ min}.$

5-Butyl-2-methyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2e).

Starting from 1e (30.1 mg, 0.1 mmol), 27.0 mg was obtained (90% isolated yield): yellow oil, ee 26%; ^1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 5.37 (s, 1H), 4.01 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.92 (m, 2H), 1.60−1.39 $(m, 4H)$, 1.49 $(s, 9H)$, 1.40 $(d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz)$, 0.95 $(t, 3H, J = 7.0$ Hz); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 201.1, 176.7, 149.2, 107.9, 82.7, 62.7, 30.9, 29.5, 28.2, 22.4, 17.3, 13.8; ESIMS m/z 254.1 $(M + H)^{+}$, 276.1 $(M + Na)^{+}$, 198.1 $(M + H - t$ -Bu)⁺, 507.2 (2M + H)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₄H₂₄NO₃ (M + H)⁺: 254.1756, found 254.1759. HPLC Chiralcel OD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = 2/98, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 214$ nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 7.7$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 6.983$ min.

5-Cyclopropyl-2-methyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2f).

Starting from 1f (23.7 mg, 0.1 mmol), 23.4 mg was obtained (98% isolated yield): yellow oil, ee 72%; ^1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.02 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.83−2.74 (m, 1H), 1.54 $(s, 9 Hz)$, 1.45 (d, 3H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.21–1.16 (m, 2H), 0.87–0.82 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 200.6, 179.4, 149.6, 102.4, 82.8, 63.2, 28.2, 17.3, 11.9, 11.5, 11.1; ESIMS m/z 238.1 (M + H)⁺, 260.2 (M + Na)⁺, 182.1 (M + H – t-Bu)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{13}H_{20}NO_3$ (M + H)⁺: 238.1443, found 238.1442; HPLC Chiralcel OD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = $2/98$, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 214$ nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 10.683 \text{ min}, t_{\text{minor}} = 9.317 \text{ min}.$

2-Benzyl-5-cyclopropyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2g).

Starting from 1g (25.0 mg, 0.08 mmol), 22.5 mg was obtained (90% isolated yield): yellow oil, ee 12%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ (ppm) 7.20−7.17 (m, 3H), 7.07−7.04 (m, 2H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 4.3 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.6, 6.1$ Hz), 3.44 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.1, 13.4$ Hz), 3.24 (dd, 1H, $J =$ 2.6, 13.4 Hz), 2.48−2.42 (m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 9H), 1.02−0.93 (m, 1H), 0.96−0.84 (m, 1H), 0.62−0.59 (m, 1H), 0.15−0.07 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ (ppm) 199.7, 180.0, 149.6, 134.5, 129.7, 127.9, 126.8, 105.3, 83.0, 67.1, 36.8, 28.3, 11.3, 10.9, 9.9; ESIMS m/z 314.1 $(M + H)^{+}$, 336.0 $(M + Na)^{+}$, 258.1 $(M + H - t$ -Bu)⁺, 627.2 (2M) + H)⁺, 649.2 (2M + Na)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₉H₂₄NO₃ (M + H)⁺ 314.1756, found 314.1762; HPLC Chiralcel AD-H, 2-propanol/ hexane = 2/98, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, λ = 214 nm, t_{major} = 16.443 min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 12.917 \text{ min.}$

2-Benzyl-5-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-3-oxo-2,3-dihydropyrrole-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (2h).

Starting from 1h (21.0 mg, 0.5 mmol), 17.7 mg was obtained (90% isolated yield): orange oil; ee 57%; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ (ppm) 7.20−7.16 (m, 5H), 7.02 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 6.58 (d, 2H, J = 8.9 Hz), 5.35 (s, 1H), 4.42 (dd, 1H, J = 2.8, 6.9 Hz), 3.54 (dd, 1H, J = 6.3, 13.3 Hz), 3.35 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.8$, 13.3 Hz), 2.98 (s, 6H), 1.43 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ (ppm) 199.2, 174.0, 151.9, 150.2, 135.1, 129.9, 128.0, 126.8, 118.9, 111.1, 110.5, 82.5, 67.6, 40.1, 37.5, 28.0; ESIMS m/z 393.2 (M + H)⁺, 337.1 (M + H – t-Bu)⁺; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{24}H_{29}N_2O_3$ $(M + H)^+$ 393.2178, found 393.2168; HPLC Chiralpak AD-H, 2-propanol/hexane = 10/90, flow rate 1.0 mL/min, $\lambda = 214$ nm, $t_{\text{major}} = 9.667$ min, $t_{\text{minor}} = 8.45$ min.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra and chiral HPLC chromatograms for compounds 2a−h. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR [INFORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +33 (0)4 67 14 38 77. Fax: +33 (0)4 67 14 48 66. E-mail: frederic.lamaty@univ-montp2.fr.

Notes

[The authors declare no compet](mailto:frederic.lamaty@univ-montp2.fr)ing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the CNRS and the MESR for financial support. R.S. is grateful to Università della Calabria (Italy) for a Ph.D. fellowship. We thank ISOCHEM (Vert le Petit, France) for a gift of UNCAs.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Anastas, P. T.; Kirchhoff, M. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 686− 694.

(2) (a) Chen, J.; Spear, S. K.; Huddleston, J. G.; Rogers, R. D. Green Chem. 2005, 7, 64−82. (b) Colacino, E.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F.; Patrikeeva, L. S.; Khemchyan, L. L.; Ananikov, V. P.; Beletskaya, I. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2012, 256, 2893−2920. (c) Vasudevan V. Namboodiri, V. V.; Varma, R. S. Green Chem. 2001, 3, 146−148. (d) Datta, G. K.; Schenck, H.; Hallberg, A.; Larhed, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3896−3903.

(3) (a) Bantreil, X.; Sidi-Ykhlef, M.; Aringhieri, L.; Colacino, E.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. J. Catal. 2012, 294, 113−118. (b) Colacino, E.; Daich, L.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Synlett 2007, 1279−1283. (c) Colacino, E.; Villebrun, L.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 3730−3735. (d) Declerck, V.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Synlett 2006, 3029−3032. (e) Declerck, V.; Ribiere, P.; Nedellec, Y.; Allouchi, H.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 201−208. (f) Spina, R.; Colacino, E.; Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Synlett 2012, 23, 1481−1484. (g) Spina, R.; Colacino, E.; Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Martinez, J.; Lamaty, F. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 9085−9089.

(4) (a) Furstner, A.; Davies, P. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3410−3449. (b) Clarke, M. L. Polyhedron 2001, 20, 151−164.

(5) (a) Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 215−236. (b) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Costa, M. Synlett 2004, 2468−2483. (c) Michelet, V.; Toullec, P. Y.; Genet, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4268−4315. (d) Soriano, E.; Marco-Contelles, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1026−1036.

(6) (a) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G. Chem. Commun. 1997, 1083−1084. (b) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Brindisi, D.; Costa, M.; Chiusoli, G. P. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 625−627. (c) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Fazio, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7853−7861. (d) Gabriele, B.; Plastina, P.; Vetere, M. V.; Veltri, L.; Mancuso, R.; Salerno, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 3565−3567.

(7) (a) Smith, A. B.; Knight, S. D.; Sprengeler, P. A.; Hirschmann, R. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1996, 4, 1021−1034. (b) Smith, A. B.; Cantin, L. D.; Pasternak, A.; Guise-Zawacki, L.; Yao, W. Q.; Charnley, A. K.; Barbosa, J.; Sprengeler, P. A.; Hirschmann, R.; Munshi, S.; Olsen, D. B.; Schleif, W. A.; Kuo, L. C. J. Med. Chem. 2003, 46, 1831−1844. (c) Smith, A. B.; Charnley, A. K.; Hirschmann, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 180−193.

(8) Liddell, J. R. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2002, 19, 773−781.

(9) Overhand, M.; Hecht, S. M. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4721−4722. (10) (a) Yoshida, H.; Bando, S.; Nakajima, S.; Ogata, T.; Matsumoto, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1984, 57, 2677−2678. (b) Huang, J.; Liang, Y.; Pan, W.; Yang, Y.; Dong, D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5345−5348. (c) Bunnelle, E. M.; Smith, C. R.; Lee, S. K.; Singaram, S. W.;

(11) Gouault, N.; Le Roch, M.; Cornee, C.; David, M.; Uriac, P. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 5614−5617.

(12) Capon, B.; Kwok, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5346− 5356.